

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
) Case No. 1:13-cr-113-HSM-SKL
)
 v.)
)
)
 WALTER PHILSCOTT ARMSTRONG)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), I conducted a plea hearing in this case on June 24, 2014. At the hearing, defendant moved to withdraw his not guilty plea to Count Two of the two-count Indictment and entered a plea of guilty to Count Two of the Indictment, in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find the defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; the plea is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:13-cr-00113-HSM-SKL Document 37 Filed 07/01/14 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 112

specifically reserved for the district judge.

s/ Susan K. Lee

SUSAN K. LEE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to *de novo* review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than fourteen days after the plea hearing. Failure to file objections within fourteen days constitutes a waiver of any further right to challenge the plea of guilty in this matter. See 28 U.S.C. §636(b).